

‘Writing up’ neighbourhood centres and ‘writing in’ the professional doctor

Donna Lea Rooney

A thesis submitted to the University of Technology, Sydney, in
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Education

Faculty of Education
2008

Certificate of Authorship/originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dhooney', written in a cursive style.

Signature of Student

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I must thank Carl Rhodes and Hermine Scheeres (my supervisors) without whose expert guidance and 'supervision' this work would not have been possible. In particular thanks for allowing me the freedom to pursue various lines of flight, yet still pulling me in when I was in danger of losing the plot altogether.

Next, I must also thank Alison Lee who, through resources made available in the UTS Faculty of Education, facilitated a series of writing groups for doctoral students. Alongside supervision, these writing groups provided immeasurable support and I am grateful to have had the opportunity.

Similarly, I am grateful to Narelle Smith from the UTS Maths Study Skills Unit, Geof Hawke from the Faculty Research Centre and Jim Athanasou from the Faculty of Education for their patient guidance through the quantitative portion of this work (chapter seven).

I also am grateful to other UTS colleagues. For example, David Boud, my (UTS) workplace supervisor, for his academic generosity and for helping me to understand (and navigate) various academic and publishing process. To colleagues (past and present) of the former Organisational, Vocational and Adult Learning (OVAL) Research (Bernice, Steven, Jayne, Mez, Sarah, Kerry, Mary, Oriana, Nicky and Clive), thank you for putting up with my (sometimes loud) 'enthusiasm'.

To the people of Local Community Services Association (Roy, Corinne, Jane, Faye and Odessa), thank you for sharing your vast knowledges and for teaching me more than I ever thought possible. Thank you too, to the women and men of New South Wales' neighbourhood centres who not only inspire me through their dedication, but also generously supported this study.

To Sallie Saunders (my Honors thesis supervisor), thank you for believing that 'I could'. And to Ann and Jane from the University of Western Sydney for introducing me to many ideas in undergraduate degree that have both confused and seduced me – and Jane's suggestion that I might like to read Donna Haraway.

To my 'mates' in the "Faculty Lunch Club", thank you for your interesting (and oft amusing) lunchtime discussions. And to my other 'mates' in the smoking network in the downstairs café (I'm not sure of all your names), thanks for your almost daily question of '*finished yet?*' which had the effect of spurring me on.

To my family (in particular my sons, James, Mathew and Christopher), who have made their own particular sacrifices in order that I pursue this degree, thanks for your love and support. Thanks to my 'big sister' (Sandie), who tolerated my incessant 'rabbiting on' and defended my choice to pursue higher education when I needed it most. Thanks as well, to Ebony (my fabulously talented niece), for drawing the sketches used throughout this thesis.

To my friends (too numerous to name) who helped me adjust to the idea of becoming 'Dr Donna' by practicing calling me this for the last few years *and* who provided much welcomed diversions to writing. And finally to Gail – thank you.

Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III
ABSTRACT.....	IX
 PREPARING (SECTION ONE).....	 II
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTIONS	1
<i>Introduction to chapter</i>	1
<i>The provision of learning in neighbourhood centres</i>	2
A first problematic.....	7
Addressing the first problematic.....	10
A first contribution.....	11
<i>A concern with identity</i>	12
A second problematic.....	15
Addressing the second problematic.....	17
A second contribution.....	19
<i>Relationship between problematics</i>	20
<i>Introducing cat's cradle</i>	22
A third contribution.....	24
<i>Organisation of thesis</i>	25
Conclusion to chapter	31
 CHAPTER 2 A PAGAN RESEARCHER.....	 32
<i>Introduction to chapter</i>	32
<i>Pagan research as 'not quite'</i>	33
<i>Pagan research as an ethical approach</i>	34
<i>Responsibility to other others</i>	38
<i>Pagan research as multi-paradigmatic</i>	39
Conclusion to chapter	40
 CHAPTER 3 CAT'S CRADLE	 41
<i>Introduction to chapter</i>	41
<i>Cat's cradle</i>	42
The 'patterns' of cat's cradle.....	44
The 'moves' of cat's cradle.....	50
<i>Preparing to conclude preparations</i>	53
Conclusion to chapter	55
 PLAYING (SECTION TWO).....	 56
 CHAPTER 4 PAGAN BECOMINGS	 58
<i>Introduction to chapter</i>	58
<i>Becoming and being</i>	60
Instrumental becomings.....	60
Erotic becomings.....	61
Pagan (ironic) becomings.....	62
<i>Professional doctoral programs</i>	63
Hybrid candidates and fields, but hybrid theses?	65
<i>The criteria and the performance of the criteria</i>	68
Writing the 'knowing the field'	70
<i>Becoming an authorised knower and this game of cat's cradle</i>	72
Conclusion to chapter	74
 CHAPTER 5 THE CAT'S WHISKERS.....	 75
<i>Introduction to chapter</i>	75
<i>Environment and investments</i>	77
Socio-political environment.....	77
<i>Organisational context</i>	81
Neighbourhood centres and the community welfare sector	82

Adult community education in NSW.....	85
Neighbourhood centres in NSW.....	89
Centre funding.....	90
Neighbourhood centres in crisis.....	91
Neighbourhood centre activities.....	93
Cat's whiskers and this game of cat's cradle.....	95
Conclusion to chapter	96
CHAPTER 6 TRAGIC RIGHTINGS	98
Introduction to chapter	98
Righting and writing.....	99
Righting.....	99
Responsible righting	100
Tragic righting.....	101
The criteria and the performance of the criteria.....	101
Righting and policy and research	102
Hard evidence	103
Further tragedy.....	103
Looking to play with numbers	104
Righting the world and this game of cat's cradle	105
Conclusion to chapter	106
CHAPTER 7 A STRING(ENT) PATTERN	107
Introduction to chapter	107
Rationale	108
Process.....	111
Collaborations with the Local Community Services Association (LCSA)	111
Identifying neighbourhood centres	111
Process of collecting data.....	112
Data collection instrument	112
Supporting documentation	113
Response rate.....	113
Analysis and findings.....	115
Activities.....	116
Enrolments and participation	120
Targeted activities	121
Organisation of activities.....	123
Funding activities	125
Student contact hours	127
Survey conclusions.....	128
A stringent pattern and this game of cat's cradle	130
Conclusion to chapter	131
CHAPTER 8 PLEASURABLE PLAYING	132
Introduction to chapter	132
Playing.....	133
The criteria and the performance of the criteria.....	135
Playing with others	135
Troubling education	138
Linking.....	140
Playing and this game of cat's cradle.....	141
Conclusion to chapter	143
CHAPTER 9 BRIDGES	144
Introduction to chapter	144
Delors' pillars	145
Learning to know.....	146
Learning to know the neighbourhood	147
Others learning to know	148
Learning to know others.....	148
Learning to know how to know (learning to learn)	149
Learning to do	151
Learning to do 'it' for work	151
Learning to do for other purposes	152

<i>Learning to do 'it' in teams</i>	153
<i>Learning to be</i>	154
<i>Learning to be in a neighbourhood centre</i>	155
<i>Learning to be(come)</i>	155
<i>Learning to live together</i>	157
<i>Bridges</i>	158
<i>Bridges and this game of cat's cradle</i>	160
<i>Preparing to pause the playing</i>	163
Conclusion to chapter	164
 PAUSING (SECTION THREE)	 165
 CHAPTER 10 (K)NOT KNOWING	 166
<i>Introduction to chapter</i>	166
<i>The provision of learning in neighbourhood centres</i>	166
<i>A concern with identity</i>	169
<i>Relationship between problematics</i>	170
Knowing 'the world'	170
Writing the world	171
Changing the world	172
<i>Some contributions</i>	174
<i>The problematic and this game of cat's cradle</i>	175
 APPENDICES	 178
a) Information brochure	178
b) Survey	178
c) 'Tips' for completing survey	178

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: A flock of birds (the Sydney Harbour Bridge).....	22
FIGURE 2: The <i>patterns</i> of <i>cats cradle</i>	44
FIGURE 3: The <i>moves</i> of <i>cats cradle</i>	50

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE A: Method of response	114
TABLE B: Percentage of centres, population and responses by various NSW regions	114
TABLE C: Activity type of centres in a week	116
TABLE D: Comparison of this study with existing study	118
TABLE E: Broad areas of activities	119
TABLE F: Estimate of weekly enrolments by activity type	121
TABLE G: Positive responses about targeting neighbourhood centre activities.....	121
TABLE H: Groups of people to whom neighbourhood centres activities are targeted	122
TABLE I: Who centres are partners with when working in collaboration.....	124
TABLE J: Cross tabulation showing percentage of activity type by partners in collaboration	125
TABLE K: Who pays for activities in NSW neighbourhood centres	126
TABLE L: Cross tabulation showing 'activity type' by 'who pays for it'	127
TABLE M: Student contact hours per year by activity type	128

Abstract

This thesis presents a dual problematic in a dialogic relationship. The first problem is a concern for the invisibility and lack of acknowledgement of the provision of learning in New South Wales' neighbourhood centres. Taking this as a starting point, this thesis presents a reflexive study that traverses and contributes to several educational debates. A main contribution is to provide a reflexive account of the way that the provision of learning in NSW neighbourhood centres is significant both in its presence in its empirical site of practice, and its absence in the discourses of education. The thesis interrupts this absence by both arguing for its injustice and demonstrating different ways that this provision can be accounted for.

A second problem is both conceptual and methodological, and is conceived through notions of identity. The concern here is with the interplay of identities that come together in the production of a professional doctoral thesis, as well as the way identity is at stake when considering the provision of learning in neighbourhood centres. The related forms of identity addressed here include shaping aspects of organisational identities as well as how the author's identities as researcher, practitioner and candidate for a professional doctorate shapes, and is shaped by, this work.

In response to the dual problematic the thesis presents itself explicitly as a reflexive piece of work that is politically motivated and personally mobilised. Specifically, the thesis provides three different versions and analyses of the provision of learning in neighbourhood centres that draw from three different sources of data. The first is a review of practitioner-oriented literature. The second is the quantitative analysis of a survey of NSW centres. The third is an analysis of interviews with people involved in centres. Reflexively each of these (sub)versions is premised on the desires of the candidate-practitioner-researcher. First the desire to become an authorised knower, second a moral desire to right the world, and third a desire to take pleasure in the production of knowledge. Analytically, each of these data sources and desires are positioned against each other so as to be able to acknowledge as well as work within and against the problematic categories they rely on.

The result is a thesis that refuses, establishes, and destabilises the categories of its own analysis simultaneously. Drawing on Haraway's analogy of research as a game of cat's cradle, and Lyotard's theorisation of the pagan, the thesis develops a new and different conceptual and textual framework and uses this to pursue its investigation. This approach is justified in response to the legitimacy and authority of research and its practice, as well as to calls for developing new textual practices for professional doctorates in Australia.